AMEN	DMENT	TDANION					
AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicant(s): Marietta B. Helmeke et al.						Docket No. DD-043-US-01	
Serial No. 09/965,769		Filing Date 09/27/2001		Examiner Patricla A. Short		Group Art Unit 1712	
Invention; HO	T MELT !	MOISTURE (	URE POLYURET	HANE ADHESIVE WI	TH WIDE RA	NGE OF OPEN TIME	
Transmitted here	with is an a	amendment i	ASSISTANT COM  the above-identifi mitted as shown be	MISSIONER FOR PATI	ENTS:		
	CLAIMS	REMAINING		AMENDED			
TOTAL CLAIMS	Ī	MENDMENT	PREV. PAID FOR	NUMBER EXTRA CLAIMS PRESENT	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE	
NDEP. CLAIMS	1		29 =	0	× \$18.00		
/ultiple Depender	t Claims (			0	× \$84.00	\$0.00	
						\$0.00	
			OTAL ADDITION	AL FEE FOR THIS AME	NDMENT	\$0.00	
A duplicate  A check in  The Comm communica A duplicate  Any ac	the amour issioner is ition or crecopy of the iditional filintent applications is signatured.  Signatured is ition or crecopy of the iditional filintent application is signatured.	hereby authoredit any overpais sheet is en ing fees requi	to cover the fill brized to charge paragrament to Deposit closed.  red under 37 C.F.F. sing fees under 37	CFR 1.17. Dated: 02/06/	TE OF FACSING that this correspond and Trademark	THE COOK LO BET TO THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY	
	-		Signu	ature	lie G. Post	25t	

Serial No. 09/965,769

Patent

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Marietta B. Helmeke et al. Group Art Unit: 1712

Serial #: 09/965,769

Examiner: Patricia A. Short

Filed: September 27, 2001

Attorney Docket No.: DD-043-US-01

Title: Hot Melt Moisture Cure Polyurethane Adhesive With Wide Range of Open Time

Box: Non-fee Amendment

Assistant Commissioner for Patent

Washington D.C. 20231

## **RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Sir:

In response to the outstanding Office Action mailed on December 5, 2002,

Applicants respectfully request entry of the following remarks into the file of the aboveidentified application.

## REMARKS

Claims 1-29 are in the case.

Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration of the subject application in light of the following remarks.

## I. Rejection of Claims 1-29 Under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as allegedly being indefinite regarding a thermoplastic polyurethane in claim 1. The Office Action questions whether this thermoplastic polyurethane is a polyol.

Applicants submit that the thermoplastic polyurethane (d) in claim 1 is a polyol.

An exemplified thermoplastic polyurethane is commercially available under the

GROUP 1700 FEB 07 2003 FAX RECEIVED